Tetrahedron Letters 49 (2008) 6050–6053

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00404039)

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet)

## Structure determination and absolute configuration of cannabichromanone derivatives from high potency Cannabis sativa

Safwat A. Ahmed <sup>a</sup>, Samir A. Ross <sup>a,b,</sup>\*, Desmond Slade <sup>a</sup>, Mohamed M. Radwan <sup>a</sup>, Ikhlas A. Khan <sup>a,b</sup>, Mahmoud A. ElSohly<sup>a,c,\*</sup>

a National Center for Natural Products Research, School of Pharmacy, The University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA <sup>b</sup> Department of Pharmacognosy, School of Pharmacy, The University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA <sup>c</sup> Department of Pharmaceutics, School of Pharmacy, The University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA

## article info

Article history: Received 28 May 2008 Revised 25 July 2008 Accepted 28 July 2008 Available online 5 August 2008

Keywords: Cannabis sativa Absolute configuration Cannabichromanone Circular dichroism Mosher ester analysis Antimicrobial Antimalarial Antileishmanial Anti-oxidant

**ABSTRACT** 

Three new cannabichromanone derivatives were isolated from high potency cannabis, along with the known cannabichromanone. Full spectroscopic data, including the use of electronic circular dichroism and Mosher ester analysis to determine the absolute configuration of these compounds, are reported. All isolates were tested for antimicrobial, antimalarial, antileishmanial, and anti-oxidant activities. - 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Cannabis sativa L. is one of the oldest medicinal plants known, having been used for more than five thousand vears.<sup>1</sup> Cannabis has an extremely complex and diverse chemistry due to the vast number of its constituents and their possible interaction with one another[.2,3](#page-3-0) Phytocannabinoids are unique to cannabis and are responsible for a wide range of biological activities including analgesic,<sup>4</sup> anti-emetic,<sup>[5](#page-3-0)</sup> antidepressant,<sup>[6](#page-3-0)</sup> appetite stimulation,<sup>7</sup> anticancer, $8$  glaucoma treatment, $9$  and psychoactivity.<sup>[10](#page-3-0)</sup>

As part of our phytochemical investigation<sup>11–13</sup> of high potency cannabis $^{14}$  $^{14}$  $^{14}$  ( $\Delta^9$ -THC > 10% by dry weight), we herein report the isolation of three new cannabichromanone derivatives (2–4) (Fig. 1) and the known cannabichromanone (1), including the first report of the full spectroscopic data and the absolute configuration for **1.** All compounds were evaluated for antimicrobial,<sup>[15](#page-3-0)</sup> antimalar- $ial<sub>16</sub>$  $ial<sub>16</sub>$  $ial<sub>16</sub>$  antileishmanial,<sup>[11,17](#page-3-0)</sup> and anti-oxidant activities.<sup>[18](#page-3-0)</sup>

Whole buds of mature female plants grown from high potency Mexican seeds were processed and stored at low temperature (-24 C). The material was authenticated by Dr. Suman Chandra,



Figure 1. Cannabichromanone derivatives 1-4 with cannabinoid numbering system.<sup>[21](#page-3-0)</sup>

The University of Mississippi, and a voucher specimen (S1310V1) was deposited at the Coy Waller Complex, The University of Mississippi.

Plant material (9.0 kg, ca. 10% THC) was sequentially extracted at room temperature [hexanes  $(2 \times 60 \text{ L})$ ,  $CH_2Cl_2$  (48 L), EtOAc (40 L), EtOH (37.5 L), EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (36 L, 1:1), and H<sub>2</sub>O (40 L)], and the extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure at 40  $\degree$ C. The hexanes extract (0.96 kg) was subjected to vacuum liquid

<span id="page-0-0"></span>



Corresponding authors. Tel.: +1 662 915 1031; fax: +1 662 915 7989 (S.A.R.); tel.: +1 662 915 5928; fax: +1 662 915 5587 (M.A.E.).

E-mail addresses: [sross@olemiss.edu](mailto:sross@olemiss.edu) (S. A. Ross), [melsohly@olemiss.edu](mailto:melsohly@olemiss.edu) (M. A. ElSohly).

<sup>0040-4039/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2008.07.178

<span id="page-1-0"></span>chromatography (VLC) on flash silica gel eluted with hexanes, EtOAc, and MeOH gradient. The hexanes/EtOAc (50:50) fraction was subjected to column chromatography, and final purification by semi-preparative C18 HPLC (MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O, 75:25) afforded 1  $(15 \text{ mg}, R_{t} = 14 \text{ min})$ , **2** (30 mg,  $R_{t} = 10 \text{ min}$ ), **3** (4 mg,  $R_{t} = 8 \text{ min}$ ), and 4 (60 mg,  $R_t = 8.5$  min).

Compound 1 was obtained as a yellow oil  $\{[\alpha]_D^{25}$  –25.19 (c 0.5,  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$ } and its molecular formula was determined to be  $C_{20}H_{28}O_4$  by HRESIMS  $[m/z \text{ calcd} \text{ for } C_{20}H_{28}O_4$ Na: 355.1885 (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>, found 355.1901], representing seven degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum exhibited hydroxyl and conjugated carbonyl absorption bands at 3346 and 1632 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The  $^{13}$ C NMR, DEPT-135, and HMQC spectra of 1 revealed the presence of 20 carbon resonances, including four methyl, six methylene, three methine, and seven quaternary carbons [two oxyaryl (C-1, C-4a), two carbonyl (C-8, C-3", IR absorption at 1632 and 1730  $\rm cm^{-1}$ , respectively), two aryl sp<sup>2</sup> (C-3, C-8a), and one oxygenated sp<sup>3</sup>  $(C-6)$  carbon]. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **1** (Table 1) showed two aromatic protons at  $\delta_H$  6.18 (s, H-2) and 6.26 (s, H-4), four methyl signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.86 (t, H-5′), 1.38 (s, H-9), 1.43 (s, H-10), and 2.12 (s, H-4"), six methylenes at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.47 (t, H-1'), 1.56 (m, H-2'), 1.28 (m, H-3' and H-4'), 2.00 (m, H-1"), and 2.59 (m, H-2"), one methine at  $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.40 (dd, H-7), and a sharp singlet at  $\delta_H$  11.52 (Ar-OH). The downfield shift of this phenolic proton compared to the usual range of 5– 8 ppm is due to hydrogen bonding with the C-8 carbonyl oxygen and was further confirmed by HMBC correlations with C-1, C-2, and C-8a (Fig. 2). Detailed 2D NMR and UV ( $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  at 210, 279, and 350 nm $)^{19}$  experiments confirmed the presence of a 4-chromanone ring system with hydroxyl group at C-1, n-pentyl moiety at C-3, dimethyl at C-6, and 3"-oxobutyl moiety at C-7 (Fig. 2), establishing 1 as cannabichromanone  $A^{20}$  ([Fig. 1](#page-0-0)) [name based on 4-chromanone system: 5-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-3-(3-oxobutyl)-7-n-pentylchro $man-4-one<sup>21</sup>$ ].

Compound 2 was obtained as a yellow oil  $\{[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$  –19.81 (c 0.5,  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$ ) and its molecular formula was determined to be  $C_{21}H_{30}O_5$  by HRESIMS [m/z calcd for  $C_{21}H_{31}O_5$ : 363.2171 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>, found 363.2052], representing seven degrees of unsaturation. Compound 2 has the same basic structure as 1, with the exception of an additional hydroxymethine functionality  $[\delta_H 4.20$  (m, H-1<sup>n</sup>),

Table 1  ${}^{1}H$  and H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of **1–4** in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (500 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H, 100 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C)<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are in ppm. Coupling constants (*J*) are in Hz.



Figure 2. Important HMBC (blue arrows), COSY (red bold) and ROESY (purple double-faced arrow) correlations of 1 and 4.

 $\delta_C$  73.2 (C-1")] established by COSY correlations (H-7/H-1", H-1"/  $H_2$ -2") and HMBC (H-1"/C-6, C-2", C-3", and C-8), confirming 2 as cannabichromanone B [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-0-0) [name based on 4-chromanone system: 5-hydroxy-3-(1-hydroxy-4-oxopentyl)-2,2-dimethyl-7-npentylchroman-4-one<sup>21</sup>].

Compound 3 was obtained as a yellow oil  $\{[\alpha]_D^{25}$  +7.99 (c 0.01,  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$ } and its molecular formula was determined to be  $C_{21}H_{28}O_5$  by HRESIMS  $[m/z \text{ calcd} \text{ for } C_{21}H_{28}O_5$ Na: 383.1834 (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>, found 383.1838], representing eight degrees of unsaturation. Compound 3 has the same basic structure as 1 and 2, except for an additional carbonyl moiety  $[\delta_C 207.7 (C-4<sup>n</sup>)]$  established by HMBC (H<sub>2</sub>-1"/C-3", H<sub>3</sub>-5"/C-3", C-4"), confirming **3** as cannabichromanone C [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-0-0) [name based on 4-chromanone system: 5-hydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-3-(3,4-dioxopentyl)-7-n-pentylchroman-4-one<sup>21</sup>].

Compound 4 was obtained as a yellow oil  $\{[\alpha]_D^{25} - 14.13 \; (c \; 0.5,$  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$ )} and its molecular formula was determined to be  $C_{21}H_{28}O_3$  by HRESIMS [m/z calcd for  $C_{21}H_{27}O_3$ : 329.2117 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>, found 329.2089], representing eight degrees of unsaturation. The  $13C$  NMR spectrum showed signals indicating four methyl, six methylene, four methine, and seven quaternary carbons [two oxyaryl (C-1, C-4a), one carbonyl (C-8, IR absorption at  $1730 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), two aryl sp<sup>2</sup> (C-3, C-8a), one oxygenated sp<sup>2</sup> (C-4"), and one oxygenated sp<sup>3</sup> (C-6) carbon]. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **4** (Table 1) showed two aromatic protons at  $\delta_H$  6.52 (s, H-2) and 6.34 (s, H-4), one olefinc proton at  $\delta_H$  5.91 (br s, H-3"), four methyl signals at  $\delta_H$  0.84 (t, H-5'), 1.15 (s, H-9), 1.41 (s, H-10), and 1.94 (s, H-5"), six methylenes at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.42 (t, H-1'), 1.52 (m, H-2'), 1.27 (m, H-3', H-4'), 1.38 (m, H-1"), and 2.36 (m, H-2"), and one methine at  $\delta_H$  2.65 (dd, H-7). HMBC and COSY experiments (Fig. 2) confirmed the presence of a substituted 4-chromanone system with UV absorption maxima  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  209, 279, and 350 nm. The absence of a chelated hydroxyl proton at ca.  $\delta_H$  11.5, the upfield shift of C-1 to  $\delta_c$  144.8, the molecular formula, the degrees of unsaturation, and the 2D NMR analysis [\(Fig. 2](#page-1-0)) pointed toward an oxygen-bridge between C-4 $\degree$  and C-1, resulting in a rare nine-membered ring.<sup>[22,23](#page-3-0)</sup> The geometry of the  $\Delta^{3^n,4^n}$  system was assigned as Z on the basis of ROESY correlations between H-3<sup>n</sup> and H<sub>3</sub>-5<sup>n</sup>, establishing 4 as cannabichromanone D [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-0-0) [name based on 4-chromanone system: 2,2-dimethyl-3,5-(4-yloxypent-3-en-1-yl)-7-n-pentylchroman-4-one $^{21}$ ].

Conformational analysis of 3 indicated the sofa conformation (E-conformer), with the pentane-3", 4"-dione group at C-7 in the pseudoequatorial position and the two methyls at C-6 in the equatorial and axial positions, as the lowest energy conformer (Fig. 3). This was confirmed by ROESY analysis indicating correlation between H<sub>ax</sub>-7 [ $\delta$ <sub>H</sub> 3.12 (d)] and H<sub>3</sub>-10 [ $\delta$ <sub>H</sub> 1.46 (s),  $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 26.0 (equatorial)], while no correlation was observed between  $H_{ax}$ -7 [ $\delta_H$  3.12 (d)] and H<sub>3</sub>-9 [ $\delta$ <sub>H</sub> 1.38 (s),  $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> 23.3 (axial)]. Correlation between  $H_{ax}$ -7 and both  $H_3$ -9 and  $H_3$ -10 would suggest an unfavorable C-6/C-7 trans-diaxial configuration.

Optical rotatory dispersion (ORD) and electronic circular dichroism (CD) have been used to determine the absolute configuration and conformation of natural products, for example, flavonoids, $24$  and in qualitative analysis of THC and CBD content. $25$ The modified octant rule,<sup>26</sup> defining the relationship between the chirality of  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ketones and the sign of their high



Figure 3. Conformational analysis for 3. The red arrow indicates the direction of projection. The back wedge represents the plane of the benzenoid ring. The blue arrow indicates ROESY correlation between  $H_3$ -9 or  $H_3$ -10 and H-7.

wavelength Cotton effect (CE), was extended to aryl-ketones or acetophenones. $27$  Compound 3 shows UV absorption at 279 nm  $(\pi \rightarrow \pi^*)$  and 350 nm (n  $\rightarrow \pi^*$ ), and applying the octant rule modified for cyclic aryl-ketones, a positive CE for the  $n \rightarrow \pi^*$  carbonyl transition would indicate 7R and a negative CE for the  $n \rightarrow \pi^*$  carbonyl transition would indicate 7S absolute configuration.<sup>24</sup> A positive CE at 313 nm (0.2 mg/ml, MeOH) was observed for 3 (Fig. 4), establishing the absolute configuration as 7R. Conversely, 1, 2 and 4 displayed negative CE at the  $n \rightarrow \pi^*$  carbonyl transition (320– 350 nm), indicating 7S absolute configuration for these compounds (Table 2).

The absolute configuration of 2 at the secondary carbinol chiral center  $(C-1<sup>n</sup>)$  was determined via the Mosher ester analysis proto $col.<sup>28-31</sup>$  This protocol involves derivatization of the carbinol with each of the enantiomeric pair  $(R)-(-)$ -MTPA-Cl and  $(S)-(+)$ -MTPA-Cl  $(\alpha$ -methoxy- $\alpha$ -trifluoromethylphenylacetyl chloride), giving two diastereoisomeric esters  $[(S)$ - and  $(R)$ -ester, respectively]. NMR analysis of each of the two esters can be used to determine the absolute configuration of the chiral center. The NMR analysis is based on an empirical conformation for the esters that dictate the spectroscopic features of the conformers. This conformation includes an s-trans (antiperiplanar) arrangement about the O-CO bond and a syn-coplanar ( $0^{\circ}$  dihedral angle) arrangement between the  $CF<sub>3</sub>$  group and the carbinol methine proton with respect to the carbonyl group ([Fig. 5](#page-3-0)). The MTPA aryl substituent causes an anisotropic magnetic shielding effect on protons above or below the plane of the aryl ring, resulting in an upfield chemical shift for the spatially proximal protons in the NMR spectrum. This implies that protons in the  $R^2$ -group of the (S)-ester are more shielded and hence upfield. The same applies for protons in the  $R^1$ -group of the (R)-ester. The sign of  $\Delta \delta_H(SR) = \delta_H(S) - \delta_H(R)$  for protons in  $R<sup>1</sup>$  will therefore be positive and for protons in  $R<sup>2</sup>$  negative, yielding





400



Figure 4. CD spectra for 3 and 4.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 5.** Conformation of  $(S)$ - and  $(R)$ -esters. The atoms and bonds in red are coplanar. The blue arrow indicates the phenyl shielding effect.

Table 3 Mosher ester analysis for 2

No.	2 $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl <sub>3</sub> )	2 $\delta_{\rm H}$ (py-d <sub>5</sub> )	$(2S)$ -ester $\delta_{\rm H}$ (py-d <sub>5</sub> )	$(2R)$ -ester $\delta_H$ (py-d <sub>5</sub> )	$\Delta\delta_H(SR)$
7	2.40	2.67	2.64	2.57	$+0.07$
1 <sup>''</sup>	4.20	4.53	5.61	5.65	$-0.04$
2 <sup>''</sup>	1.32	1.21	1.23	1.25	$-0.02$
$9_{\rm ax}$	1.36	1.33	1.31	1.32	$-0.01$
$10_{eq}$	1.41	1.44	1.40	1.39	$+0.01$

the absolute configuration of the secondary carbinol chiral center. The  $(S)$ - and  $(R)$ -MRPA-esters for 2 were prepared as follows: In a NMR tube, 2 (2 mg) in pyridine- $d_5$  (100 µl) was reacted with  $(R)$ -( – )-MTPA-Cl (30  $\mu$ l) at room temperature (2 h) to afford (2S)-ester. Similarly, (2R)-ester was prepared from (S)-(+)-MTPA-Cl.  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR data were measured directly from the reaction mixtures (Table 3). A positive  $\Delta\delta_H(SR)$  for H-7 indicates aryl-shielding of the C-7 moiety in the  $(R)$ -ester and therefore  $1\textdegree$ S absolute configuration, establishing 2 as 5-hydroxy-3S-(1S-hydroxy-4-oxopentyl)-2,2-dimethyl-7-n-pentylchroman-4-one (name based on 4-chromanone system).

The isolated compounds were evaluated for antimicrobial (Candida albicans ATCC 90028, Escherichia coli ATCC 35218, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, Mycobacterium intracellulare ATCC 23068, Aspergillus fumigat ATCC 90906, and Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 43300), antimalarial [Plasmodium falciparum (chloroquine sensitive D6 clone) and P. falciparum (chloroquine resistant W2 clone)], antileishmanial, and anti-oxidant activities.

The isolated compounds showed no antimicrobial activity. Compounds 1 and 3 showed mild antimalarial activity against P. falciparum (D6 and W2 clone) with  $IC_{50}$  values of 3.7 and 3.8  $\mu$ g/ mL, and 4.7 and 3.4  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively. Compounds 2 and 4 displayed no antimalarial activity. Compounds 1–4 showed moderate antileishmanial activity with  $IC_{50}$  values of 14.0, 14.0, 12.5, and 9.0 μg/mL, respectively.

A TLC autographic assay for 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical-scavenging effect was used to determine anti-oxidant activity. The isolated compounds were dissolved in DMF  $(2 \text{ mg/mL})$  and applied in the form of a spot  $(4 \mu l, 4-5 \text{ mm in diam} -1)$ eter) on silica gel GF plates. The residual DMF was removed under vacuum (15–20 min). A similar amount of vitamin E in DMF was used as a positive anti-oxidant control. The radical-scavenging effects of the compounds were detected on the TLC plate using DPPH spray reagent (0.2% w/v in methanol). The plate was inspected 30 min after spraying. Active compounds are observed as yellow spots against a purple background. Relative radical-scavenging activity was assigned as 'strong' (compounds that produce an intense bright yellow spot), 'medium' (compounds that produce a clear yellow spot), 'weak' (compounds that produce a weakly visible yellow spot), or 'not active' (compounds that produce no yellow spot).<sup>32</sup> Vitamin E produced an intense bright yellow spot. All isolated compounds produced a bright yellow spot, indicating 'strong' anti-oxidant activity.

## Acknowledgments

The project described was supported in part by Grant No. 5P20RR021929-02 from the National Center for Research Resources and in part by the National Institute on Drug Abuse, Contract No. N01DA-5-7746. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Center for Research Resources or the National Institutes of Health. We are grateful to Dr. Bharathi Avula for assistance with the HRESIMS, and to Dr. Melissa Jacob, Ms. Marsha Wright, Dr. Shabana Khan, and Dr. Babu Tekwani for conducting the antimicrobial, antimalarial, and antileishmanial testing.

## References and notes

- 1. Fransworth, N. R. J. Am. Pharm. Assoc. 1969, 9, 410–414.
- 2. ElSohly, M. A.; Slade, D. Life Sci. 2005, 78, 539–548.<br>3. Brenneisen, R. In Marijuana and the Cannabinoids: F.
- Brenneisen, R. In Marijuana and the Cannabinoids; ElSohly, M. A., Ed.; Humana Press Inc.: Totowa, New Jersey, 2007. Chapter 2—Chemistry and Analysis of Phytocannabinoids and Other Cannabis Constituents, pp 17–50.
- 4. Roberts, J. D.; Gennings, C.; Shih, M. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2006, 530, 54–58.
- 5. Darmani, N. A.; Johnson, J. C. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2004, 488, 201–212.
- 6. Moreira, F. A. J. Neurosci. **2007**, 27, 13369–13370.<br>7. Wilson, M. M. G.: Philpot. C.: Morley. I. E. *I. Nutr.*
- Wilson, M. M. G.; Philpot, C.; Morley, J. E. J. Nutr. Health Aging 2007, 11, 195– 198.
- 8. Blazquez, C.; Carracedo, A.; Salazar, M.; Lorente, M.; Egia, A.; Gonzalez-Feria, L.; Haro, A.; Velasco, G.; Guzman, M. Neuropharmacology 2008, 54, 235–243.
- Plange, N.; Arend, K. O.; Kaup, M.; Doehmen, B.; Adams, H.; Hendricks, S.; Cordes, A.; Huth, J.; Sponsel, W. E.; Remky, A. Am. J. Ophthalmol. 2007, 143, 173– 174.
- 10. Gomez-Ruiz, M.; Hernandez, M.; de Miguel, R.; Ramos, J. A. Mol. Neurobiol. 2007, 36, 3–14.
- 11. Radwan, M. M.; Ross, S. A.; Slade, D.; Ahmed, S. A.; Zulfiqar, F.; ElSohly, M. A. Planta Med. 2008, 74, 267–272.
- 12. Ahmed, S. A.; Ross, S. A.; Slade, D.; Radwan, M. M.; Zulfiqar, F.; ElSohly, M. A. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 536–542.
- 13. Radwan, M. M.; ElSohly, M. A.; Slade, D.; Ahmed, S. A.; Wilson, L.; El-Alfy, A.T.; Khan, I. A; Ross, S. A. Phytochemistry, accepted for publication.
- 14. ElSohly, M. A.; Ross, S. A.; Mehmedic, Z.; Arafat, R.; Yi, B.; Banahan, B. F. J. Forensic Sci. 2000, 45, 24–30.
- 15. Bharate, S. B.; Khan, S. I.; Yunus, N. A. M.; Chauthe, S. K.; Jacob, M. R.; Tekwani, B. L.; Khan, I. A.; Singh, I. P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2007, 15, 87–96.
- 16. Howlett, A. C.; Barth, F.; Bonner, T. I.; Cabral, G.; Casellas, P.; Devane, W. A.; Felder, C. C.; Herkenham, M.; Mackie, K.; Martin, B. R.; Mechoulam, R.; Pertwee, R. G. Pharmacol. Rev. 2002, 54, 161–202.
- 17. Rocha, L. G.; Almeida, J. R. G. S.; Macedo, R. O.; Barbosa-Filho, J. M. Phytomedicine 2005, 12, 514–535.
- 18. Hampson, A. J.; Grimaldi, M.; Lolic, M.; Wink, D.; Rosenthal, R.; Axelrod, J. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 2000, 899, 274–282.
- 19. Rukachaisirikul, V.; Chantaruk, S.; Pongcharoen, W.; Isaka, M.; Lapanun, S. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 980–982.
- 20. Friedrich-Fiechtl, J.; Spiteller, G. Tetrahedron 1975, 31, 479–487.
- 21. See Ref. 2 for cannabinoid numbering system.
- 22. Glaser, R.; Shiftan, D. Adv. Mol. Struct. Res. 1999, 5, 89–151. 23. Holmes, A. B.; McGeary, R. P. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II Volume
- 9: Seven-Membered and Larger Rings and All Fused Derivatives. Nine-membered rings; Newkome, G. R., Ed.; Elsevier Science Ltd.: Oxford, U.K., 1996; pp 1039– 1146. pp 737–788.
- 24. Slade, D.; Ferreira, D.; Marais, J. P. J. Phytochemistry **2005**, 66, 2177–2215.<br>25. Han S. M.: Purdie, N. Anal. Chem. **1985**, 57, 2068–2071.
- Han, S. M.; Purdie, N. Anal. Chem. 1985, 57, 2068-2071.
- 26. Snatzke, G. Tetrahedron 1965, 21, 413–419.
- 27. Snatzke, G. Tetrahedron 1965, 21, 439–448.
- 28. Dale, J. A.; Mosher, H. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 512–519.
- 29. Sullivan, G. R.; Dale, J. A.; Mosher, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 2143–2147.
- 30. Hoye, T. R.; Jeffrey, C. S.; Shao, F. Nat. Protocol. 2007, 2, 2451–2458.
- 31. Seco, J. M.; Quinoa, E.; Riguera, R. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 17–117.
- 32. Takamatsu, S.; Hodges, T. W.; Rajbhandari, I.; Gerwick, W. H.; Hamann, M. T.; Nagle, D. G. J. Nat. Prod. 2003, 66, 605–608.